

been most open to the world economy have grown the most and have improved their environments the most.

In the short-term, however, there may be some truth to this criticism. Globalization often shifts dirty industries from wealthy nations to poorer ones. The maquiladora industries on the U.S.-Mexican border are an example of this, having attracted U.S. firms seeking weaker environmental standards.

Third, globalization exposes American workers to unfair competition from cheap wages overseas. Many people complain about competition from countries which have poor labor protections and low wages. However, most of the experts agree that roughly 80% of the difference in wages between U.S. and developing country workers can be attributed to differences in productivity. Thus, while Guatemalan workers may have wages that are one fifth what American workers earn, our well-trained workers are typically more than five times as productive, so there is less incentive to move production to Guatemala than initially appears.

CONCLUSION

The evidence on globalization is mixed, and it is difficult to sort it all out. Yet one thing is clear—there is no turning back on globalization. As President Clinton has said, "The technology revolution and globalization are not policy choices, they are facts." Communications satellites, cell phones, the internet, and global financial transactions are here to stay. Succeeding in the 21st Century will mean that Americans must learn to master the global economy. But we will need to make policy changes to cushion the disruptions of these new economic forces and find new ways to manage them.

Next week: Responding to Globalization.

TRIBUTE TO JOHN F. SEIBERLING

HON. GEORGE MILLER

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 9, 1998

Mr. MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I would like to advise my colleagues that yesterday marked the eightieth birthday of our former colleague, John F. Seiberling of Ohio, and to take note of his many accomplishments during his tenure in this body.

A native of Akron and grandson of the founder of the Goodyear Tire and Seiberling Rubber companies, John Seiberling decided in 1970, at age 52, after 3 years of distinguished World War II military service, 5 years of private law practice and 17 years at Goodyear, to run for the U.S. House of Representatives, primarily because of his deep concern over continuation of the U.S. involvement in the Viet Nam War. He quickly established himself as a leader in the ultimately successful effort to end the U.S. involvement, and was elected Chairman of Members of Congress for Peace Through Law, later known as the Arms Control and Foreign Policy Caucus.

In 1973 he joined the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, where I had the pleasure of serving with him for a number of years. As a member of that committee he played a leading role in the 6-year battle to enact federal legislation to restore damage caused by surface coal mining and prevent further environmental degradation, which culminated with enactment of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977. As Chairman of the

committee's Public Lands Subcommittee, he also became a leader on land conservation and historic preservation and managed legislation that doubled the size of the national park system and quadrupled the size of the wilderness system, including the addition of more than 100 million acres of Alaska's most spectacular land. He also spearheaded the enactment of the Cuyahoga Valley National Recreation Area Act, creating Ohio's first and only national park.

In 1986, he decided not to seek re-election, but he had crowded a lifetime of accomplishments into his 16 years of service to this House, to his constituents and to the American Public.

After his retirement, he resumed the practice of law in Akron and also assumed an endowed chair at The University of Akron School of Law. But he has also found time to continue working on the causes he held dear as a member of this body through his service on the Board of Directors of the Environmental and Energy Study Institute, a non-profit organization he and other Members founded to provide timely and credible information to Congress on environmental, energy and natural resource issues.

Mr. Speaker, I invite my colleagues to join me in saluting John F. Seiberling, a Congressional giant, and wishing him many happy returns of the day.

"BILL OF NO RIGHTS"

HON. JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR.

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 9, 1998

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, one of my constituents, Mr. Robert Koehl, brought to my attention the following article, "Bill of No Rights," by Jon Jenson.

This column expresses in a very plain, down-to-earth, articulate way the feelings of millions of American citizens.

I would like to call it to the attention of my colleagues and other readers of the RECORD.

BILL OF NO RIGHTS

Note: Submitted by a reader, the following document deserves consideration in these victim-oriented times.

We the people of the United States, in an attempt to help everyone get along, restore justice, preserve domestic tranquility, promote positive behavior and secure the blessings of debt-free liberty to ourselves and our grandchildren, hereby try one more time to ordain and establish some common-sense guidelines for the terminally whiny, guilt-ridden, delusional, victim-wanna-bes and grievance gurus.

We hold these truths to be self-evident: That a whole lot of people are dreadfully confused by the Bill of Rights, and could benefit from a "Bill of No Rights."

ARTICLE I: You do not have the right to a new car, big screen TV or any other form of wealth. More power to you if you can legally acquire them, but no one is guaranteeing anything.

ARTICLE II: You do not have the right to never be offended. This country is based on freedom for everyone—not just you! You may leave the room, turn the channel, express a different opinion, etc., but always remember the world is full of offensive idiots.

ARTICLE III: You do not have the right to be free from harm. If you stick a screwdriver

in your eye, learn to be more careful. Do not expect the tool manufacturer to make you and all your relatives independently wealthy.

ARTICLE IV: You do not have the right to free food and housing. Americans are the most charitable people to be found, and will gladly help those in need, but many are growing weary of subsidizing generation after generation of professional couch potatoes who achieve nothing more than the creation of another generation of professional couch potatoes.

ARTICLE V: You do not have the right to free health care. That would be nice, but from the looks of public housing, health care is not a high priority.

ARTICLE VI: You do not have the right to physically harm other people. If you kidnap, rape, intentionally maim or kill someone, don't be surprised if others want to see you fry in the electric chair.

ARTICLE VII: You do not have the right to the possessions of others. If you rob, cheat or coerce away the goods or services of your neighbors, don't be surprised if others get together and lock you away.

ARTICLE VIII: You don't have the right to demand that our children risk their lives in foreign wars to soothe your aching conscience. We hate oppressive governments. However, Americans do not enjoy parenting the entire world and do not want to spend so much of their time and resources squabbling with each and every little tyrant with a military uniform and a funny hat.

ARTICLE IX: You don't have the right to a job. Everyone wants you to have one, and will gladly help you along in hard times, but we expect you to take advantage of the opportunities of education and vocational training available to you, and to make yourself useful and productive.

ARTICLE X: You do not have the right to happiness. Being an American means that you have the right to pursue happiness, which—by the way—is a lot easier if you are not encumbered by an overabundance of idiotic laws created by those who are confused by the original Bill of Rights.

TRIBUTE TO MR. LEE LOCHMANN

HON. ROBERT SMITH

OF OREGON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 9, 1998

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Leroy Lochmann, President and CEO of ConAgra's Refrigerated Foods Companies, on the occasion of his retirement. Lee's life story is a Horatio Alger story: Lee is a self-made man from humble origins, whose hard work, perseverance and integrity enabled him to climb to the heights of the corporate ladder in our nation's food industry.

Lee entered the food business at the age of 18, beginning on the first rung of the ladder—the slaughtering floor of a Swift and Company meat packing plant. Lee rose from the assembly line to numerous management positions, ultimately becoming President of Swift and Company.

Throughout the remainder of his forty-five year career, Lee would become president of many other leading food companies, including Beatrice Meats; Armour Swift-Eckrich; and ConAgra Refrigerated Foods Companies.

While pursuing a very successful business career, Lee acquired academic degrees from